RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - OVERALL RATING

Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 240)	Project Concept (Out of 60)	Safety Considerations (Out of 120)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 120)	References (Out of 60)	TOTALS	High	Low
Lake Oswego Construction	217	48	78	85	55	483	87	76
Swinerton	218	52	97	83	48	498	89	69

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - PAUL WALLSINGER Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	34	8	10	14	10	76
Swinerton	30	8	12	12	7	69

Comments		

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - AMELIA MILLER Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	35	7	18	14	8	82
Swinerton	38	8	17	15	6	84

Comments Swinerton: No safety considerations for transient population downtown. Scheduling is pushed very late and will likely be pushed back very far. Extremely long lead times.

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - JESSE SKAGGS Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	40	9	15	14	9	87
Swinerton	35	9	17	15	9	85

Comments		

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - LEVON ATWOOD

Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	38	8	9	15	10	80
Swinerton	40	10	18	10	10	88

Comments		

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - MATT HERTEL Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	30	8	16	18	8	80
Swinerton	35	8	16	16	8	83

Comments		

RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - SHEA STEINGASS Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

			Section			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Firm Name	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	TOTALS
Lake Oswego Construction	40	8	10	10	10	78
Swinerton	40	9	17	15	8	89

Comments

Swinerton: Safety Plan doesn't include considerations on local homeless populations/folks resting & residing in and around the building and grounds. Site security for the project and public
safety will be a key consideration. I understand fabrication is a long process, but pulling project to late summer and fall is going to pose a risk for incoming precipitation levels and bring
project right up to deadline, at best.
Lake Oswego Construction: Safety consideration are quite minimal but do mention onsite security/monitoring. Timeline not extremely clear in terms of the project phases/completion;
hard toe valuate. A chart would be helpful. Great references for historical structures/custom projects.