

**RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - OVERALL RATING**

Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.

Firm Name	Section					TOTALS	High	Low
	1	2	3	4	5			
	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 240)	Project Concept (Out of 60)	Safety Considerations (Out of 120)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 120)	References (Out of 60)			
Lake Oswego Construction	217	48	78	85	55	483	87	76
Swinerton	218	52	97	83	48	498	89	69











**RFP - COURTHOUSE ROOF REPLACEMENT FORM - SHEA STEINGASS**  
**Rate each firm in each criterion. Note maximum points for each item.**

Firm Name	Section					TOTALS
	1	2	3	4	5	
	Ability to Produce Roofing Materials (Out of 40)	Project Concept (Out of 10)	Safety Considerations (Out of 20)	Ability to Meet Scheduling Requirements (Out of 20)	References (Out of 10)	
Lake Oswego Construction	40	8	10	10	10	78
Swinerton	40	9	17	15	8	89

**Comments**

Swinerton: Safety Plan doesn't include considerations on local homeless populations/folks resting & residing in and around the building and grounds. Site security for the project and public safety will be a key consideration. I understand fabrication is a long process, but pulling project to late summer and fall is going to pose a risk for incoming precipitation levels and bring project right up to deadline, at best.

Lake Oswego Construction: Safety consideration are quite minimal but do mention onsite security/monitoring. Timeline not extremely clear in terms of the project phases/completion; hard to evaluate. A chart would be helpful. Great references for historical structures/custom projects.

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---



---